

The Orissa Gazette



EXTRAORDINARY
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 1359 CUTTACK, WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 20, 2006 / BHADRA 29, 1928

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 18th August 2006

No. 7589—li/1(B)-90/2002-L. E.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 22nd June 2006 in I. D. Case No. 119/2002 of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bhubaneswar to whom the industrial disputes between the Management of Shri Sridhar Das, Contractor, M/s Paradeep Phosphates Ltd. At Rangiarh, P. O. Jimani, Via Kujang, Dist. Jagatsinghpur M/s Paradeep Phosphates Ltd. Paradeep Dist. Jagatsinghpur and its workman Shri Subash Chandra Bhatta, At Gandikpur, P. O. Gopiakuda, Via Kujang, dist. Jagatsinghpur was referred for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below :

SCHEDULE

IN THE LABOUR COURT, BHUBANESWAR

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE NO. 119 OF 2002

Dated the 22nd June 2006

Present :

Shri P. K. Sahoo, O.S.J.S. (Jr. Branch)
Presiding Officer, Labour Court
Bhubaneswar.

Between :

Shri Sridhar Das, Contractor
M/s. Paradeep Phosphates Ltd.
At Rangiarh, Post Jimani, Via Kujang
Dist. Jagatsinghpur. . . First Party—Management

Management of M/s Paradeep Phosphates Ltd.
Paradeep, Dist. Jagatsinghpur.

And

Subash Chandra Bhatta
At Gandikpur, P. O. Gopiakuda
Via Kujanga, Dist. Jagatsinghpur. . . Second Party—Workman

Appearances :

For both the Parties

.. None

AWARD

The Government of Orissa in the Labour & Employment Department, in exercise of powers conferred upon them by sub-section (5) of Section 12, read with clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) have referred the following dispute for adjudication vide their Order No. 12957—li-1(B)-90/2002-L.E., dated the 24th October 2002 :—

“ Whether the action of the Contract agency Shri Sridhar Das, Port Operation contractor of M/s Paradeep Phosphates Ltd., Paradeep, in refusing employment to shri subash Chandra Bhatta a contract worker with effect from the 1st September 2001 on account of none insurance of gate by the management of Paradeep Phosphates Ltd., Paradeep (the Principal Employer) in favour of the workman is legal and/or justified ? If not, what relief the workman is entitled to ?”

2. The concerned workman is absent on repeated calls. No steps taken on her behalf. The management are also absent. The case is posted to today for hearing. No prayer is also made by either party to adjourn the case to some other date for hearing. On perusal of the case record it is seen that, the workman is absent since the 27th May 2005. The workman concerned is also absent today. Hence a no award is possible is passed accordingly.

Dictated and corrected by me.

P. K. SAHOO

22-6-2006

Presiding Officer

Labour Court

Bhubaneswar

P. K. SAHOO

22-6-2006

Presiding Officer

Labour Court

Bhubaneswar

By order of the Governor

N. C. RAY

Under-Secretary to Government